

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,889	08/08/2003	David C. Challener	RPS920010143US1	2488
25299 7590 07/07/2008 IBM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 12195 DEPT YXSA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			COPPOLA, JACOB C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/637.889 CHALLENER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JACOB C. COPPOLA 3621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4-11.13-15.37-40 and 49-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-11, 13-15, 37-40, and 49-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 2 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

Detailed Action

Acknowledgements

 This action is in reply to the Amendments and Remarks filed on 22 April 2008.

- Claims 4-11, 13-15, 37-40, and 49-52 are currently pending and have been examined.
- 3. All references to the capitalized versions of "Applicants" refer specifically to the Applicants of record. Any references to lower case versions of "applicant" or "applicants" refer to any or all patent "applicants." Unless expressly noted otherwise, references to "Examiner" refers to the Examiner of record while reference to or use of the lower case version of "examiner" or "examiners" refers to examiner(s) generally. The notations in this paragraph apply to this Office Action and any future office action(s) as well.
- This Office Action is given Paper No. 20080627. This Paper No. is for reference purposes only.

Response to Arguments and Amendments

5. The arguments filed on 22 April 2008 with respect to the rejections of claims 4-11, 13-15, 37-40, and 49-52 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections from the previous Office Action mailed on 05 November 2007 have been withdrawn in their entirety. New rejections to the pending claims can be found below. This Office Action is non-final.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 3 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 4-11, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. As per claims 4, 5, 8, 13, and 14, these claims recite "said computer system". These claims are indefinite because this component lacks antecedent basis in the claims. For Prior Art purposes only, the Examiner will interpret "said computer system" to mean "said central computer system". Appropriate correction is required.
- 9. Additionally, this claim recites "a circuit producing a tamper evident signal in response to detecting tampering with said meter by disconnecting said meter from said utility product or by opening a cover of said meter". This claim is indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art could not determine if the signal is produced by the circuit by "disconnecting... or opening..." or if the "disconnecting... or opening..." or Prior art purposes only, the Examiner will interpret the above limitation to mean the circuit produces the signal, but not by actually disconnecting the meter or opening a cover of said meter.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 4 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

10. <u>As per claim 8</u>, this claim recites "said ordered sequence of alphanumeric values". This claim is indefinite because the cited element lacks antecedent basis in the claim. For Prior Art purposes only, the Examiner will interpret "said ordered sequence of alphanumeric values" to mean "said ordered sequence of values". Appropriate correction is required.

- 11. Additionally, this claim recites "next value... in an unencrypted form... and encrypted with said private cryptographic key". This claim is indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art would not understand how the next value is both "in an unencrypted form" and "encrypted". Appropriate correction is required.
- 12. Additionally, this claim recites "said alphanumeric value received as said message follows, within said ordered sequence..., a version of said alphanumeric value previously transmitted...". This claim is indefinite because one of ordinary skill in the art would not understand what the message is following, the "ordered sequence" or the "version... previously transmitted".
- 13. The Examiner finds that because the claims are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd paragraph, it is impossible to properly construe claim scope at this time. However, in accordance with MPEP §2173.06 and the USPTO's policy of trying to advance prosecution by providing art rejections even though these claims are indefinite, the claims are construed and the prior art is applied as much as practically possible.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 5 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Villicana et al. (U.S. 6,819,098 B2) ("Villicana"), in view of Wheeler et al. (U.S. 2002/0026575 A1) ("Wheeler").
- 16. As per claim 4. Villicana discloses a system for receiving data regarding usage of a utility product at a plurality of remote locations (see at least column 1, lines 55+). Additionally, Villicana discloses the limitations:
 - a central computer system (system 100) (see figure 1 and associated text);
 - b. a database (data center 103) accessed by said computer system;
 - c. a plurality of meters (utility meters 113) (see figure 1 and associated text); and
 - d. a communication network (Internet 111) connecting each meter within said plurality of meters with said central computer system to transmit data to said central computer system ("a connection via the Internet 111 to system 100 to upload power usage data from meter 113 to system 100 for storage in data center 103) (see column 2. lines 39-41):

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 6 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

e. said database stores a plurality of data records ("a data center 103
that includes relational databases in which utility meter acquired data and
account information is stored") (see column 1, lines 50-60);

- f. each data record in said plurality of data records includes a meter identifier identifying a meter within said plurality of meters associated with said data record ("transmit the identification number to database 103 so that the correlation between the identification number and the silicon serial number may be recorded") (see column 5, line 61 through column 6, line 13):
- g. each of said meters includes data storage ("non-volatile memory" or NVM 205) and a microprocessor (controller 201) accessing said data storage and programmed to transmit a message (see column 4, lines 28-54);
- h. wherein said message includes a data value representing a
 measured usage of said utility product, over said communication network
 to said central computer system (see column 4, lines 28-54);
- said central computer system includes a processor programmed to receive said message (see column 4, lines 28-54).

Villicana does not specifically disclose the following limitations; however, Wheeler does disclose the following limitations:

 j. each data record includes a public cryptographic key of said meter (see ¶ 0118); Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 7 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

 k. meter includes data storage storing a private cryptographic key of said meter (see ¶ 0145-0148);

- meter microprocessor is programmed to encrypt a message with said private cryptographic key (see ¶ 0145-0148);
- m. transmitted message includes an alphanumeric value (see ¶ 0149);
- information encrypted with said private cryptographic key is decrypted with said public cryptographic key (see ¶ 0113-0117);
- o. central computer system processor is programmed to decrypt the received encrypted message with said public cryptographic key of said meter, said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, forming a decrypted message, and to compare a version of said alphanumeric value from said decrypted message with unencrypted version of said alphanumeric value (see ¶ 0113-0117: comparison is inherently present in the process of authenticating the digital signature).
- p. each of said meters includes a circuit producing a tamper evident signal in response to detecting tampering with said meter ("zeroization capability") by disconnecting said meter from said utility product or by opening a cover of said meter ("intrusion of the device"), said microprocessor within each of said meters is additionally programmed to prevent further transmission of utility usage data in response to said tamper evident signal ("destroy the functionality of the digital signature component"), and further transmission of utility usage data is prevented by

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 8 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

erasing said private cryptographic key ("erase the private key") stored within said data storage (see ¶ 0148).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include in the utility usage reporting system of Villicana the secure messaging method as taught by Wheeler since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were oredictable.

- 17. Claims 5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Villicana/Wheeler, in view of Callele et al. (U.S. 5,343,516 A) ("Callele"), and in further view of Abumehdi et al. (U.S. 5,367,464 A) ("Abumehdi").
- 18. <u>As per claim 5</u>, the combination of Villicana and Wheeler, as shown above in the rejection to claim 4, disclose the limitations:
 - q. said system comprises a central computer system, a database accessed by said computer system, a plurality of meters, and a communication network connecting each meter within said plurality of meters with said central computer system to transmit data to said central computer system, said database stores a plurality of data records, each data record in said plurality of data records includes a meter identifier identifying a meter within said plurality of meters associated with said data

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 9 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

record and a public cryptographic key of said meter, each of said meters includes data storage storing a private cryptographic key of said meter and a microprocessor accessing said data storage and programmed to encrypt a message with said private cryptographic key and to transmit said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, wherein said message includes an alphanumeric value together with a data value representing a measured usage of said utility product, over said communication network to said central computer system, information encrypted with said private cryptographic key is decrypted with said public cryptographic key, and said central computer system includes a processor programmed to receive said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, to decrypt with said public cryptographic key of said meter, said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key. forming a decrypted message, and to compare a version of said alphanumeric value from said decrypted message with unencrypted version of said alphanumeric value.

Villicana/Wheeler, further, disclose the limitations:

- r. said communication network additionally connects each meter within said plurality of meters with said central computer system to receive data from said central computer system (see Villicana, column 3, lines 50+: "bi-directional communication"); and
- s. said processor in said central computer system is additionally programmed to store said data derived from said data value representing

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 10 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

a measured usage of said utility product within said data record including said meter identifier identifying said meter (see Villicana, column 5, line 61 through column 6, line 13: "transmit the identification number to database 103 so that the correlation between the identification number and the silicon serial number may be recorded"; and column 2, lines 39-41: "a connection via the Internet 111 to system 100 to upload power usage data from meter 113 to system 100 for storage in data center 103; and column 1, lines 50-60: "a data center 103 that includes relational databases in which utility meter acquired data and account information is stored". See also Wheeler, figures 2a-b and associated text) in response to determining that said decrypted message matches said unencrypted version of said message (see Wheeler, ¶ 0113-0117 and ¶ 0145-0148).

Villicana/Wheeler does not specifically disclose the following limitations:

- t. said processor in said central computer system is additionally programmed
- u. to generate and store a random value to be used as said alphanumeric value;
- to call each meter in said plurality of meters on a periodic basis over said communication network; and
- w. to transmit said random value to said meter.

Callele, however, discloses the following limitations:

 x. said processor in said central computer system is additionally programmed to call each meter in said plurality of meters on a periodic Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 11 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

basis over said communication network ("the purpose of this invention is to allow utility companies to remotely query the meter reading via the telephone network") (see column 17, lines 35-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Villicana/Wheeler so that the system 100 could call the meter, as disclosed by Callele. One would have been motivated to do so because "this eliminates the need to have an individual physically visit each site to determine the consumption for a given time period" (see Callele. column 17. lines 35-43).

Additionally, Abumehdi discloses the following limitations:

- y. said processor in said central computer system is additionally programmed to generate and store a random value to be used as said alphanumeric value ("this message contains a random number generated by the resetting terminal) (see column 8, lines 5+); and
- z. to transmit said random value to said meter ("the resetting terminal sends a series of messages to the meter to read and send to the terminal in encrypted format the accounting data held in the registers of the nonvolatile memories 19, 20 of the meter") (see column 8, lines 5+).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Villicana/Wheeler to include the use of a random number as disclosed by Abumehdi. One would have been motivated to do so because the inclusion of a random number with the transmitted data allows detection of duplicate messages (see Wheeler, ¶ 0115).

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 12 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

19. As per claim 10, Villicana/Wheeler/Callele/Abumehdi, as shown above, disclose the limitations of claim 5. Additionally, and as shown above in the rejection to claim 5, Villicana/Wheeler/Callele/Abumehdi discloses the following limitations:

aa. wherein said central computer system is additionally programmed to receive a transmission over said communication network from an additional meter, to receive a meter identifier and a public cryptographic key from said additional meter, and to record said meter identifier and said public cryptographic key received from said additional meter in an additional data record within said database.

Villicana/Wheeler/Callele/Abumehdi, further, discloses the limitations:

- bb. wherein said central computer system is additionally programmed to recognize a set up request code transmitted from said additional meter (see Villicana, column 6, lines 20+).
- As per claim 11, Villicana/Wheeler/Callele/Abumehdi, as shown above, disclose the limitations of claim 5. Additionally,

Villicana/Wheeler/Callele/Abumehdi discloses the following limitations:

cc. a server computer (server 101) having an interface for communicating over a computer network with at least one client computer (computer 117) and accessing said database, wherein said server computer receives data from said client computer including a meter identifier stored in a data record within said database, and said server computer writes data received from said client computer to said data

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 13 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

record within said database (see Villicana, column 3, lines 60+; column 7, line 21 through column 8, line 26; figure 7 and associated text).

- 21. As per claim 13, this claim encompasses substantially the same scope as claim 5. Accordingly, claim 13 is rejected in substantially the same manner as claim 5, as described above.
- Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Villicana/Wheeler, in further view of Callele.
- 23. As per claims 6 and 7, the combination of Villicana/Wheeler discloses the limitations of claim 5, as described above. Villicana/Wheeler does not specifically disclose the following limitations; however, Callele does disclose the limitations:
 - dd. wherein said microprocessor in each meter in said plurality of meters is additionally programmed to determine whether a call received over said communication network has come from said central computer system ("the ICLID information can be used to verify which utility is calling") (see column 17, lines 35+);
 - ee. wherein said communications network includes a switched telephone network ("telephone network") (see column 17, lines 35+); and
 - ff. a determination of whether said call received over said communication network is made using a process for identifying a caller over a telephone network (see column 17, lines 35+).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include in the meter system of

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 14 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

Villicana/Wheeler the ability to determine the caller as taught by Callele since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

- 24. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Villicana/Wheeler, in further view of Johnson (U.S. 6,078,888 A) ("Johnson").
- 25. As per claim 8, the combination of Villicana and Wheeler, as shown above in the rejection to claim 4, disclose the limitations:
 - gg. said system comprises a central computer system, a database accessed by said computer system, a plurality of meters, and a communication network connecting each meter within said plurality of meters with said central computer system to transmit data to said central computer system, said database stores a plurality of data records, each data record in said plurality of data records includes a meter identifier identifying a meter within said plurality of meters associated with said data record and a public cryptographic key of said meter, each of said meters includes data storage storing a private cryptographic key of said meter and a microprocessor accessing said data storage and programmed to encrypt a message with said private cryptographic key and to transmit said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, wherein said message includes an alphanumeric value together with a data value

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 15 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

representing a measured usage of said utility product, over said communication network to said central computer system, information encrypted with said private cryptographic key is decrypted with said public cryptographic key, and said central computer system includes a processor programmed to receive said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, to decrypt with said public cryptographic key of said meter, said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key. forming a decrypted message, and to compare a version of said alphanumeric value from said decrypted message with unencrypted version of said alphanumeric value.

Villicana/Wheeler does not specifically disclose the following limitations; however, Johnson does disclose the following limitations:

hh. said microprocessor in each meter in said plurality of meters is additionally programmed to generate an ordered sequence of values for use as each said alphanumeric value, and to transmit, on a periodic basis, to said central computer system, a next value from said ordered sequence of alphanumeric values, in an unencrypted form and as combined with said value representing said measured usage of said utility product and encrypted with said private cryptographic key, and said processor within said central computer system is additionally programmed to receive said unencrypted form of said value in said ordered sequence of values as unencrypted version of said alphanumeric value, to determine whether said alphanumeric value received as said message follows, within said

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 16 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

ordered sequence of alphanumeric values, a version of said alphanumeric value previously transmitted from said meter, and to store data derived from said value representing a measured usage of said utility product within said data record including said meter identifier identifying said meter in response to determining that said decrypted message matches said unencrypted version of said message together with determining that said alphanumeric value follows said version of said alphanumeric value previously transmitted from said meter (see column 11, lines 45+).

- 26. As per claim 9, Villicana/Wheeler/Johnson discloses the limitations of claim 8, as described above. Villicana/Wheeler/Johnson, further, discloses the limitations:
 - ii. wherein said central computer system is additionally programmed to read said version of said alphanumeric value previously transmitted from said meter from said data record including said meter identifier identifying said meter and to write said alphanumeric value received as said message to said data record including said meter identifier (see at least Johnson, column 11, lines 45+).
- 27. As per claims 14 and 15, these claims encompass substantially the same scope as claims 8 and 9. Accordingly, claims 14 and 15 are rejected in substantially the same manner as claims 8 and 9, as described above.
- Claims 37-40 and 49-52 are directed to methods and computer-readable medium of the above system claims and are rejected accordingly.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 17 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

29. The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

30. In accordance with *In re Lee*, 277 F.3d 1338, 1344-45, 61 USPQ2d 1430, 1434-35 (Fed. Cir. 2002), the Examiner finds that the references How Computers Work, Millennium Ed. By Ron White; How Networks Work, Bestseller Ed. By Frank J. Derfler et al.; How the Internet Works, Millennium Ed. By Preston Gralla; and Desktop Encyclopedia of the Internet By Nathan J. Muller, is additional evidence of what is basic knowledge or common sense to one of ordinary skill in this art. Each reference is cited in its entirety. Moreover, because these references are directed towards beginners (see e.g. "User Level Beginning..."), because of the references' basic content (which is self-evident upon examination of the references), and after further review of the entire record including the prior art now of record in conjunction with the factors as discussed in MPEP §2141.03 (where practical), the Examiner finds that these references are primarily directed towards those of low skill in this art. Because these references are directed towards those of low skill in this art, the Examiner finds that one of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 18 - 20080627

Art Unit: 3621

in this art must—at the very least—be aware of and understand the knowledge

and information contained within theses references.

Conclusion

31. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to Jacob C. Coppola whose telephone number is (571) 270-

3922. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 a.m. -

5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information $\begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \label{table}$

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197

(toll-free).

/Jacob C Coppola/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621

June 27, 2008

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621